

## **APPEALS**

ONS

Davis Crow & Jody Shipper

#### **MEET YOUR FACILITATORS**





#### Davis Crow

Senior Solutions Specialist with Grand River Solutions, Davis works as an investigator, decision maker, hearing panel chair, and appeals officer, and provides trainings, specializing in Title IX, Title VII, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Davis has a J.D. from Stetson University College of Law and a M.Ed. University of Mississippi.



#### **Jody Shipper**

Co-Founder & Managing Director of Grand River Solutions, Jody Shipper is a nationally-recognized subject-matter expert with more than 20 years of experience in Title IX and related fields. She is known for her insight into best-inclass programming, policies, and community outreach aimed at addressing sexual misconduct on campus. She lectures extensively throughout the U.S.

## THE BASIC TENETS

RIVER

RAND

#### Dear Appeals Officer . . .

#### EVIDENCE: GATHERING, WEIGHING, ANALYZING

Avoiding Common Errors



#### THE RIGHT TO APPEAL?

Both the complainant and respondent have the right to:

- Appeal the same things in the same way to the same person(s);
- Receive information about the appeal process;
- Appeal a sanction;
- Have all aspects of the process be the same for each party;
- Have their appeal reviewed and decided upon;
- Receive notice of the outcome of the appeal.

## SANCTIONS AND INTERIM MEASURES DURING APPEAL PROCESS

- Maintaining or changing interim measures during the process
- Communicating and documenting sanctions and interim measures
- Deciding whether to impose sanctions during

the process

Pros and Cons



#### **DUE PROCESS DURING THE APPEAL PROCESS**

Equal Rights and Fair Process for Each Party

- Using regular, published procedures
- Grounds for appeal
- Who is reviewing or hearing the appeal

## BEFORE THE APPEAL RIVER RIVER

## NOTIFICATION OF THE APPEALS PROCESS

#### Who Gets Notified

- Complainant
- Respondent
- Student Conduct?
- Human Resources?
- Academic
- Personnel?

- What They are Notified Of
- Allegations
- Investigation Outcome
- Applicable Policy
  - Appeals Process
  - Timeline
  - Links
  - Dates
  - How to submit



## WHOSE JOB IS IT ? (CONTINUED)

- Communicate the decision
  - Complainant and Respondent

RI

- Title IX
- Document retention
- Determine remedies



## DE NOVO APPEALS?

# We Are Never, <u>EVER</u>, going back to this

## **DIFFERENCES IN RESPONSIBILITY**

#### RESOLUTIONS

- Investigate, Hearing
- Determine What Happened
  - Findings of Fact
  - Findings of Policy

SANCTION

#### APPEAL

- Review the Appeal
- Determine Whether Grounds for Appeal Have Been Met
- Make Decision Regarding Merits of Appeal



#### HAS THE BURDEN BEEN MET?

Review the information provided by Complainant and/or Respondent and determine whether it contains sufficient information concerning the grounds for appeal and the reasons related to those grounds

This step is **not** to decide the merits of the appeal, but to identify the nature and scope of the issues to be addressed.

#### WAS AN APPEAL FILED?

Review the information provided by Complainant and/or Respondent and determine whether it contains sufficient information concerning the grounds for appeal and the reasons related to those grounds.

This step is not to decide the merits of the appeal, but to identify the nature and scope of the issues to be addressed.

## IN THEIR APPEAL, RESPONDENT WRITES:

- I have new evidence not previously available to me. Naving read the hearing officer's report, I now know the hearing officer was biased (new evidence) because the hearing officer found against me, and there is no way that any unbiased hearing officer would have properly weighed the evidence and come to any conclusion other than the fact that complainant was lying.
- The hearing officer failed to call 1 key witness. The Title IX coordinator should have been questioned, and she could have explained that Complainant was given a free pass and allowed to drop out of organic chem after it was obvious Complainant was going to fail. This would have proven that Complainant made up the complaint and filed only to avoid failing a difficult class.

#### WHAT DOES THIS MEAN?

- You are reviewing the appeal for what it says, <u>not</u> how it is said.
- You are identifying what the party says <u>went</u> wrong in the process or whether the party has identified <u>new information</u> and IF the party has articulated that what went wrong or what is new, if true, would have led to a different outcome.





#### DEAR APPEALS OFFICER...

- I am the victim of a false accusation...
- The police were not contacted and I was not charged by law enforcement with a crime
- After the supposed sexual assault, she sent me a friend request on Instagram and asked me to dance at a party
- No one listened to my explanation or reviewed the evidence so they could see that I was falsely accused.

## DOES THIS MEET ANY GROUNDS FOR APPEAL?

- Procedural error?
- Bias/conflict of interest?
- New evidence?

#### NEW EVIDENCE: WHAT WOULD YOU DO?

Evidence not provided with the appeal

# Appeal states there is new evidence...

How do you know it is new?

It is new but is it relevant and reliable?

#### DEAR APPEALS OFFICER...

I am the victim of a false accusation. Something went terribly wrong..... RANDRINER



#### PROCEDURAL ERROR: THE DECISION WAS UNREASONABLE

The decision was unreasonable based on the evidence.

- I am the victim of a false accusation
- There was no crime
- She initiated it, not me
- We were both drunk

#### **PROCEDURAL ERROR**

There was a procedural error in the process that materially affected the outcome.

- Someone was not interviewed
- I was not allowed to cross-examine the complainant
- Burden was put onto me to prove consent

## DENIAL OF A PROCESS YOU DON'T OFFER

#### Cross examination

Representation

Discovery

Subpoena / compel witnesses

#### WHEN A RESPONDENT REFUSES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS BUT CLAIMS DUE PROCESS IS VIOLATED

"The Plaintiff waived his right to challenge the process resulting in his expulsion by failing to participate in the process afforded him."

- Herrell v. Benson







- What constitutes bias?
- The investigator was biased against me because...
- The investigator was biased against (complainants/respondents) generally) because . . .





#### ALLEGATIONS OF BIAS AS THE BASIS FOR APPEAL

An allegation of bias without factual support "no longer passes muster".

-Doe v. University of Colorado

## **NEW INFORMATION**

- Is it really new?
- If it is new, would it change the findings/outcome
- Who investigates new information?



## COMMON ERRORS

RIVE

2AND'

#### SOMETIMES INSTITUTIONS DO THE WRONG THING



• Missing deadlines for providing materials

Misunderstanding of consent or incapacitation

• Errors at a hearing

# DETERMINING CREDIBILITY ON APPEAL If Complainant does not participate, judge credibility? Do you need to see demeanor to note credibility?
## **EVIDENCE – KNOWING WHAT TO CONSIDER** • Drunk vs. Intoxicated vs. Incapacitated Irony is not Language matters coincidence Clarity and consistency of application • Who has to prove consent? Know the language of your policy Courtesy Weird Al's Word Crimes

## **CROSS COMPLAINTS**



• Was it handled?

NS

- How was it handled?
- When raised for first time in the appeal, what is your process?
- Who handles?

## APPEALS PANELS THAT EXCEED THEIR AUTHORITY



Stay In Your Lane

- How Do You Know
- How To Correct

# TREATING THE PARTIES DIFFERENTLY

- He filed an appeal, argued there was a procedural error because he did not agree with the panel's interpretation of a text message.
  Appeal granted, determination overturned. She then filed an appeal on basis that appeal panel exceeded their authority, her request to file an appeal was denied. What did the court say?
- 2. Hearing chair did not inform parties that a key witness was her student, nor that he had discussed the case (in brief) with the witness prior to her first interview. What did the court say?

# WHEN A JUDGE HAS A DIFFERENT DEFINITION OF CONSENT

"Because she removed her own shirt when Respondent suggested having sex, there was insufficient proof of a lack of affirmative consent." Haug v. SUNY Potsdam, 2018

As the Complainant did not report the rape, and did not initially think she had been roped . . . more likely there was an erroneous outcome due to gender. Doe v. Dordt University, 2022

## **LESSER-INCLUDED CHARGES ON APPEAL**

There are no lesser-included charges Reflects lack of noti and opportunity to respond. • Powell St. Joseph's University

## SANCTIONS ARE NOW WRONG BECAUSE FINDING WAS WRONG

Does appeals officer determine new sanction, or send case back for appropriate determinations?





## CAN A SANCTION INCREASE ON APPEAL?

A. In response to Complainant's appeal?

B. Sua sponte (meaning, just on their own determining it was not sufficient) ?

# LANGUAGE MATTERS WHEN WRITING APPEAL RESPONSE

Language matter

Clarity and consistency of application

Drunk vs. Intoxicated vs. Incapacitated

Who has to prove consent?

Know the language of your policy

## HOW MUCH INFORMATION TO PROVIDE ON APPEAL?

The appellate officer's failure to plainly articulate why he granted the appeal, which resulted in a new hearing that found the respondent in violation, was "perplexing" to the reviewing court, along with the appellate officer's ad hoc decision to request an independent Title IX opinion prepared in the course of determining the appeal.

## **COMMUNICATIONS ERRORS**

- Communicate the decision
  - Complainant and Respondent
  - Title IX
- Interim measures
- No contact directives
- Remedial measures
- Sanctions



## APPELLATE OFFICER/PANEL MAY NOT...



Substitute their own findings for the findings of the decision maker

Engage in factfinding/weigh new evidence



Correct procedural errors on their own

## QUESTIONS?

GRAN



## THANKS FOR JOINING US!

### CONNECT WITH US

info@grandriversolutions.com



/Grand-River-Solutions



/GrandRiverSolutions



/GrandRiverSolutions



### **GRAND RIVER** | SOLUTIONS

## WE LOVE FEEDBACK Your Opinion Is Invaluable!

