



Michelly Peña, M.A. Assistant Director, SCI

Adam Wolkoff, J.D./Ph.D., Assistant Director, SCI

SCI LIVE DISTANCE BASIC COMPLIANCE TRAINING, PART 3



### DAY 3 OVERVIEW



### CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED



2. Student Conduct Process Initiated

3. Pre-Hearing Prep Investigation

4. Hearing

5. Appeal Process SITY OF NEW

6. Decision Implementation



The State University of New York

SUNY



#### Investigator

For the duration of the Investigation process.

#### Advisor

Ideally assists the student throughout the entire process. Student Conduct Administrator

Assists the student through the resolution of the process.

Informal Resolution Facilitator

Can be any individual with significant training in this area.

TTV M

Hearing Board Member

•Decision maker

•May also serve as the Hearing Chair.



### **ROLES IN THE PROCESS**



### CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED 3. PRE-HEARING PREP\_INVESTIGATION MODEL

#### Initial Procedures Meeting Completed

- Due process rights
- Advisor of choice
- Timeline for process
- Answer questions
- Provide resources
- Discuss evidence and witnesses
- Resolution options

Key Players:

**Investigation Begins** 

- Investigation Report Template
- Notice of Investigatory
   Interview Template
- Collection of evidence
- Witness interviews
- Review of evidence by Respondent and Complainant
- Written response from Respondent and Complainant

UPD

• Once completed the information is sent to the Student Conduct office.

Conque

Staff

#### Post Investigation Procedures Meeting

- Detail due process rights
- Indicate right to advisor of choice (advisor guide)
- Describe timeline for process
- Answer questions & provide resources
- Discuss <u>new</u> evidence and witness procedures
- Discuss charges and allegations
- Offer resolution options (Informal Resolution & Hearing process)
- Disability accommodations
- Retaliation policy reminder
- Describe potential sanction outcomes
- Decorum policy
- Determining relevance guide X
  Share their appeal rights
- Correspondence communication

Hall Staff



#### **Resolution Options**

#### Informal Resolution

- Complainant has agreed in writing.
- Title IX Coordinator agrees.
- Respondent has agreed to participate.
- Facilitator reaches out to
- both parties.
- Both parties agree to the resolution.
- Informal Resolution Model 
   Policy
- Hearing
- Managing all schedules
- Notice of Hearing
- Parties confirm attendance with advisors
- Pre-Hearing preparation & logistics
- Selection of Board Members
- Order of Hearing
- Determination

Appeals

Board

Hearing Board

ring (

O Registrar

Case Rationale Map Investigative Report Template Conflict of Interest Chart Model Script for Title IX Hearings Model Decorum Policy Guide for Determining Relevance

> Reviewed today Referenced today

Notice of Allegations Template Notice of Removal to Conduct Process Template Notice of Investigatory Interview (Respondent & Complainant) Agreement Regarding Evidence Disclosure File Sharing Platform Options and Pricing Guide SCI Tech Guide Virtual Hearing Options Comparison Chart Determination Regarding Responsibility Template

**TOOLS FOR TODAY** 



The State Universit

# INVESTIGATIONS





- Avoid repeated disclosures
- Consider the impact of trauma:
  - Fragmented memory and non-linear order of events
  - Specific details about sensory events
- Trauma-informed approach is not a substitute for missing info, justification for not doing a full investigation, or cause a biased belief in a party's accuracy
- No right or wrong way to respond
- Training and procedure review
- Check your body language and demeanor
- Questioning and word usage (Help me understand... and what are you able to tell me about what you experienced?)
  - o Describing another individual's experience
  - Framing your questions, avoiding why questions



2021-2022: Neurobiology of Sexual Assault Trauma (Part 1 3) - Introduction Online | Jul 1, 2021 98685 seats available

Hours: 0.25

n Info



2021-2022: Neurobiology of Sexual Assault Trauma (Part 2 3) - When Attack Is Detected of Stress Kicks In Online | Jul 1, 2021 98589 seats available Hours: 0.25



2021-2022: Neurobiology of Sexual Assault Trauma (Part 3 of 3) - Reflexes and Habits Online | Jul 1, 2021 98527 seats available Hours: 0.25

📩 Sign-up

O Info



### TRAUMA INFORMED PRACTICES

### **Evidence Collection**

Interviews of parties & witnesses <u>after</u> Notice of Allegations

Both inculpatory & exculpatory evidence must be collected

Evidence will be directly related to the allegations

May include evidence that institution does not intend to rely on

### **Evidence Sharing**

Parties may review evidence with advisors present

May set reasonable rules (e.g. confidentiality agreement)

Redaction of "irrelevant" evidence

•Mandatory inspection process with 10-day min. review period

### Investigative Report

•Summarizes relevant evidence directly related to allegations

Cannot make determination regarding responsibility

Parties have opportunity to review at least 10 days before hearing

SUNY The State University of New York

### **INVESTIGATIVE PROCESS**



- Prompt
  - Require publication of a time frame based on a specific number of days with room for "good cause" delay
  - Final Rules (and case law) anticipate balance between prompt resolution and adequate time to prepare and respond to charges
- Impartial
  - . OF NEW Must collect exculpatory and inculpatory evidence
  - Must follow code
  - Cannot have bias or conflict of interest.

### **PROMPT AND IMPARTIAL INVESTIGATION**



The State University

#### **Action Items**

- Included in your policy
- **Opportunity for** assessment
- Evidence collection steps reviewed
- Policy related to reasonable delays in process

### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST & BIAS

# Prohibited Conflicts of Interest and Bias

- Conflict of interest/bias for or against complainants and respondents generally
  - CAUTION: "Believe All Women" (or All Men)
- Conflict of interest/bias for or against the specific parties
- Overlapping investigator, decision-maker, & appeals roles

# Not a *per se* conflict or bias

X

- Gender, research interests, work history
- Advocacy background
- TIX Coordinator serving as TIX Investigator
- TIX Coordinator serving as facilitator in informal resolution process

EST





#### TITLE IX TOOLKIT

#### Student Conduct Institute

Is it Bias? Guide			
Other Characteristics			
Student of the recipient	No	"The Department notes that the final regulations do not preclude a recipient from allowing student leaders to serve in Title IX roles so long as the recipient can meet all requirements in § 106.45 and these final regulations, and leaves it to a recipient's judgment to decide under what circumstances, if any, a recipient wants to involve student leaders in Title IX roles." <i>Id.</i> at 30,253.	
Gender	No	The Department cautions recipients not to apply generalizations when evaluating particular Title IX personnel	
Sexual assault survivor	No	for bias, warning that this may result in an unreasonable determination. Id. at 30,252.	
Self-professed feminist	No		
Supporting women's or men's rights	No		
Having had personal, negative experiences with men or women	No		
Determining whether bias exists is a fact-specific person standard when evaluating personnel. <i>Id.</i>	endeavor. See id. a	at 30,252. The Department encourages recipients to apply a common-sense and "objective" reasonable	
	Grie	evance Process Participation	
Signing a formal complaint as the Title IX Coordinator	No	"Even where the Title IX Coordinator is also the investigator, the Title IX Coordinator must be trained to serve impartially, and the Title IX Coordinator does not lose impartiality solely due to signing a formal complaint on the recipient's behalf." <i>Id.</i> at 30, 135.	
Participating in an emergency removal decision	No, unless it biases the employee	"Section 106.44(c) does not preclude a recipient from using Title IX personnel trained under § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) to make the emergency removal decision or conduct a post-removal challenge proceeding, but if involvement with the emergency removal process results in bias or conflict of interest for or against the complainant or respondent, § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) would preclude such personnel from serving in those roles during a grievance process." Id. at 30.235.	
Serving as a party advisor	Exempt from requirement not to have bias	"The final regulations impose no prohibition of conflict of interest or bias for such advisors, nor any training requirement for such advisors, in order to leave recipients as much flexibility as possible to comply with the requirement to provide those advisors." <i>Id.</i> at 30,254 n.1041.	
Title IX coordinator serving as			
investigator	No	"The final regulations would not remove the expertise of Title IX Coordinators from the grievance process. Section 106.45(b)(7)(i) does not prevent the Title IX Coordinator from serving as the investigator; rather, this provision only prohibits the decision-maker from being the same person as either the Title IX Coordinator or the investigator;" <i>Id.</i> at 30,370.	
informal resolution facilitator	No, but not	"These final regulations do not require a recipient to provide an informal resolution process pursuant to §	
	recommended	106.45(b)(9) and do not preclude the Title IX Coordinator from serving as the person designated by a recipient to facilitate an informal resolution process." Id. at 30,558.	
decision-maker	Yes	"Separating the functions of a Title IX Coordinator from those of the decision-maker is no reflection on the ability of Title IX Coordinators to serve impartially and with expertise. Rather, requiring different individuals to serve in those roles acknowledges that the different phases of a report and formal complaint of sexual harassment serve distinct purposes." <i>Id.</i> at 30,370.	

SUNY The State University of New York



©2020 State University of New York



### CASE RATIONALE MAP



### **TITLE IX TOOLKIT**

#### Student Conduct Institute Case Rationale Map for Title IX Coordinators



#### TITLE IX TOOLKIT

Investigative Report Template For Investigations of Title IX Sexual Harassment July 1, 2020

Chantelle Cleary, Esq. Senior Consultant, Grand River Solutions, Inc.



#### Summary of the Relevant Evidence

In this section, include a summary of all relevant evidence. This section can be organized in several ways. It is important that, however organized, the evidence is summarized clearly and accurately, and without opinion or bias. In this section, the writer should cite the evidence and information in the Appendices.

In a case involving one allegation, the report might be organized as follows:

#### 1) History between the Parties

- a) The Reporting Party's Account
- b) The Responding Party's Account
- c) Witness A's Account
- 2) The Hours Leading up to the Reported Incident
  - a) The Reporting Party's Account
  - b) The Responding Party's Account
  - c) Witness B's Account
  - d) Witness C's Account

### **INVESTIGATION REPORT TEMPLATE**

CODE WORD ONE: MYA





### INVESTIGATIVE REPORT TEMPLATE





	Sprint Wi-Fi      12:25 PM Ω 23%	
Testimony	K S	
Text Messages	SUNY SCI >	
Social Media Posts	For text messages, think about how to best preserve continuity by showing no breaks in the conversation	
Medical Records		
Public Safety/Police Records	One way to do this: take overlapping screenshots so that the last message in Screenshot 1 is the first message in Screenshot 2 Webdoec 9, 12:17 PM Including timestamps	
Videos / Surveillance Footage		
Pictures		
ID Card Data / Network Usage Location Data		
Email	F NE Or, use a screen recorder to	
Voice notes	scroll through a long message thread and capture the entire conversation as a video	
	Delivered	
EVIDENCE COLLECTION		
	iMessage	



### **CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED** 3. PRE-HEARING PREP INVESTIGATION MODEL

Conduct

Staff

#### Initial Procedures Meeting Completed

- Due process rights
- Advisor of choice
- Timeline for process
- Answer questions
- Provide resources
- Discuss evidence and witnesses
- Resolution options

Key Players:

#### Investigation Begins

- Investigation Report Template
- Notice of Investigatory
   Interview Template
- Collection of evidence
- Witness interviews
- Review of evidence by Respondent and Complainant
- Written response from Respondent and Complainant

UPD

• Once completed the information is sent to the Student Conduct office.

#### Post Investigation Procedures Meeting

- Detail due process rights
- Indicate right to advisor of choice (advisor guide)
- Describe timeline for process
- Answer questions & provide resources
- Discuss <u>new</u> evidence and witness procedures
- Discuss charges and allegations
- Offer resolution options (Informal Resolution & Hearing process)
- Disability accommodations
- · Retaliation policy reminder
- Describe potential sanction outcomes
- Decorum policy Y
- Determining relevance guide
   Share their appeal rights

Hearing

Board

Correspondence
 communication

Ha<mark>ll Ctaff</mark>



#### **Resolution Options**

#### Informal Resolution

- Complainant has agreed in writing.
- Title IX Coordinator agrees.
- Respondent has agreed to participate.
- Facilitator reaches out to both parties.
- Both parties agree to the resolution.
- Informal Resolution Model X
   Policy
- Hearing
- Managing all schedules
- Notice of Hearing
- Parties confirm attendance with advisors
- Pre-Hearing preparation & logistics
- Selection of Board Members
- Order of Hearing
- Determination

**Appeals** 

Board

Registrar

#### **Overview**

- Detail due process rights
- Reminder right to advisor of choice
- Describe timeline for process
- Review charges and allegations
- Answer questions & provide resources
- Discuss <u>new</u> evidence and witness procedures
- Offer resolution options (Informal Resolution & Hearing process detailed)
- Disability accommodations X
- Retaliation policy reminder X
- Decorum policy X
- Relevance determination policy X
- Describe potential sanction outcomes
- Share their appeal rights
- Correspondence clause

#### **Action Items**

#### ✓Virtual vs in-person meetings

 Clear expectations and reminders in your meeting notices (e.g. Advisor of choice notice)

Location of meeting and privacy concerns

- ✓Preparation of materials in advance
  - Referral Packet
  - Visual of hearing space if in person
- Schedule with adequate time
- ✓ Build in flexibility and anticipate challenges
  - Emotional state of all parties
    - Breaks may be necessary

SUNY The State University of New York

Working with the student's advisor

### **POST-INVESTIGATION PROCEDURAL MEETING**



### CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED **3. PRE-HEARING PREP INVESTIGATION MODEL**

Conduct

Staff

#### **Initial Procedures Meeting Completed**

- Due process rights
- Advisor of choice
- Timeline for process
- Answer questions
- Provide resources
- Discuss evidence and witnesses
- Resolution options

Key Players:

#### **Investigation Begins**

- Investigation Report Template
- Notice of Investigatory Interview Template
- Collection of evidence
- Witness interviews
- Review of evidence by Respondent and Complainant
- Written response from **Respondent and Complainant**

UPD

 Once completed the information is sent to the Student Conduct office.

#### **Post Investigation Procedures Meeting**

- · Detail due process rights
- Indicate right to advisor of choice (advisor guide)
- Describe timeline for process
- Answer questions & provide resources
- Discuss new evidence and witness procedures
- Discuss charges and allegations
- Offer resolution options (Informal Resolution & Hearing process)
- Disability accommodations
- Retaliation policy reminder
- Describe potential sanction outcomes
- Decorum policy
- •Determining relevance guide Share their appeal rights
- Correspondence communication

Hall Staff

Hearing Board



**Resolution Options** 

#### Informal Resolution

- · Complainant has agreed in writina.
- Title IX Coordinator agrees.
- Respondent has agreed to participate.
- · Facilitator reaches out to
- both parties.
- Both parties agree to the resolution.
- Informal Resolution Model X Policv
- Hearing
- Managing all schedules
- Notice of Hearing
- Parties confirm attendance with advisors
- Pre-Hearing preparation & logistics
- Selection of Board Members
- Order of Hearing
- Determination

Board

Appeals /

## BREAK





# HEARINGS





#### **Virtually**

#### Technology

- Accessibility needs
- Internet signal strength
- Party access to appropriate equipment
- Technology settings
- Recording ability (double check cloud space & storage)
- Double check provided links
- Back up plan & back up tech person role that does not wear other hats in the process
- Access to documents

#### **Hearing Space and Parties**

- Physical space for party and advisor
- Hearing board member location
- Your location
- Witness & Investigator invites
- All party and advisor confirmations (back up advisors)
- Confidentiality and privacy of communications

### **PRE-HEARING PREPARATION**

#### In person

#### Technology

- Accessibility needs
- Recording ability (double check cloud space & storage)
- Smart equipment (e.g. show evidence)
- Back up tech person role that does not wear other hats in the process

#### **Hearing Space and Parties**

- Space considerations for where students will be placed
- Space availability (multiple spaces)
- Masks and visitor policies
- Access to documents and evidence
- Proximity to restrooms
- Proximity to a printer
- Fire alarm plan
- Water, tissues, snacks, pens, paper (for all) (SUNY) Witness & Investigator invites
- The State University
- All party and advisor confirmations (back up advisors)



- Training transcript check
- Confirm availability and availability expectations
- Conflict of Interest and Bias Check
- Access to evidence in advance of the hearing
- Select a method of communication for the Board for the Hearing (Virtual Hearing)
- Selection of chairperson
- Hearing script
- Reminder of relevant policies & tools
  - o Title IX Grievance Policy
  - Code of Conduct (if applicable)
  - o Decorum Policy
  - Guide for Determining Relevance
  - Determination Regarding Responsibility Template
  - o Sanction Guidelines

SUNY) The State University of New York

### **HEARING BOARD PREPARATION**



- Date/Time/Location(or links)
- Consider multiple days for scheduling (depending on the case)
- Policy & Tool Reminders
  - Title IX Grievance Policy
  - Code of Conduct (if applicable)
  - Advisor Guide
  - Decorum Policy
  - Guide for Determining Relevance
  - Tech Guide (if applicable for how students and advisors can participate virtually)
- Order of the hearing
- Failure to appear clause
- New evidence and witness reminder

### **HEARING NOTICE TO STUDENTS & ADVISORS**



The State University

SUN

# Model Hearing Script Model Decorum Policy Guide for Determining Relevance



### **RESOURCE DOCUMENTS**

### CODE WORD TWO: QUINN





### TITLE IX TOOLKIT



#### Student Conduct Institute Sample Hearing Script<sup>1</sup>

#### I. Introduction: STATE ONIVERSITY OF NEW VORK

Administrative Hearing Officer: We are officially on the record at \_\_\_\_\_am/pm on Month, Day, Year for case number \_\_\_\_\_. I would ask everyone to turn off cell phones for the duration of the hearing and please could you all present your phones to ensure this practice? Thank you. My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_, and I am serving as today's hearing officer. Today's hearing is being recorded, therefore please be reminded to speak clearly throughout the hearing. The recording of this hearing will be made to be used in any deliberations by the decision-maker(s) or appeal board, and may be accessed by the parties prior to any appeal. This recording represents the sole official verbatim record of today's Title IX Hearing and is the property of this institution.

Would each member of the hearing panel please introduce themselves? We will begin to my left. *[introduction]*.

#### III. Privacy & Decorum Expectations

This is a closed hearing, and not open to the public. The individuals participating in this process can and should expect that the information <u>discussed</u> and the documents presented are to be kept to this hearing space in order to protect the privacy of all individuals involved. Providing materials to any other party not expressly participating in this process may be considered retaliatory and is not permitted.



STUDENT

### **RESOURCE DOCUMENTS**



Colleges and universities "are in a better position than the Department to craft rules of decorum best suited to their educational environment" and build a hearing process that will reassure the parties that the institution "is not throwing a party to the proverbial wolves." *See*, 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30319.

Advisors who violate the rules of decorum may be removed







### **Rules to consider**

- Questions in neutral tone
- No accusatory questions
- Require parties and advisors to refer to other persons by the name and gender pronoun used by that person
- No "duty of zealous advocacy" inferred or enforced, even for attorney-advisors
- No abusive behavior: yelling, screaming, badgering, leaning in, or approaching witnesses/parties without permission
- No use of profanity or personal attacks
- No use of repetitive questions





The State University

### **Questioning Process**

- Decision-maker asks questions of the parties and witnesses
- Advisors ask "relevant" cross-examination questions
- Decision-maker decides if questions are relevant

### **Relevance Determination**

 General rule: does the question make a fact at issue more or less likely to be true?



### **RELEVANT QUESTIONS**



### **Specific exclusions governing Title IX hearings:**

- "Rape Shield" (with two exceptions). 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(6)(i).
  - Offered to prove someone else committed alleged conduct
  - Offered to prove consent
- Privileged information. 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(1)(x).
- Undisclosed medical records. See, 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30294
- Duplicative questions. See, 85 Fed. Reg. at 30331.





The State Universion of New York

SUNY



### TITLE IX TOOLKIT



#### Student Conduct Institute Guide for Determining Relevance

Generally probative questions

- The question is relevant because it asks whether a fact material to the allegations is more or less likely to be true.
- The question is irrelevant because it asks about a detail that does not touch on whether a material fact concerning the allegations is more or less likely to be true. See, 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30343 (May 19, 2020).

Question regarding Privileged Information

- The question is irrelevant because it calls for information shielded by a legallyrecognized privilege [identify the privilege].
- The question is relevant because, although it calls for information shielded by a legallyrecognized privilege [identify the privilege], that privilege has been waived in writing, and the question tends to prove that a material fact at issue is more or less likely to be true.

Question about Complainant's Prior Sexual Behavior or Sexual Predisposition

- The question is relevant because although it calls for prior sexual behavior information about the complainant, it meets *one of the two exceptions* to the rape shield protections defined in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(6)(i), and it tends to prove that a material fact at issue is more or less likely to be true [denote which exception].
  - Exception one: The question is asked to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant.
  - Exception two: The question concerns specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and is asked to prove consent

The question is irrelevant because it calls for prior sexual behavior information about the complainant without meeting one of the two exceptions to the rape shield protections defined in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(6)(<u>i</u>).



### **RESOURCE DOCUMENTS**

- Opportunity for advisor to cross-examine parties and witnesses is a Title IX mandate
- Title IX "Suppression Rule" has been VACATED
  - Victims Rights Law Center v. Cardona (D. Mass. 2021)
    - Check out SCItations Blog for more
  - OCR Letter, August 24, 2021
    - bit.ly/LetterVRLC
- <u>Remember</u>: "No Adverse Inference" Rule
  - No inference of responsibility from decision not to testify

SUNY The State University of New York

### **CROSS-EXAMINATION**



 All parties, advisors, witnesses, and decision-makers may be physically present in same location, or

 They may participate remotely via secure technology, but

• Decision-makers must be able to see and hear parties and witnesses.





### LIVE HEARING

### CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED 4. HEARING

### CODE WORD STUDENT THREE: SOOKIE

THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK





# **DAY 4 OVERVIEW**

### CODE WORD THREE: SOOKIE

STUDENT CONDUCT NSTITUTE

### CASE PROCESS DECONSTRUCTED



2. Student Conduct Process Initiated 3. Pre-Hearing Prep Investigation 4. Hearing

5. Appeal Process 6. Decision Implementation

SUNY The State University of New York

### CODE WORD THREE: SOOKIE



### STUDENT STUDENT CONDUCT NSTITUTE THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

